ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED L-INDEX IN JOINT VARIABLES

A. I. BANDURA, O. B. SKASKIV

arXiv:1701.08276v1 [math.CV] 28 Jan 2017

Abstract

A. I. Bandura, O. B. Skaskiv, *Asymptotic estimates of entire functions of bounded* $\mathbf{L}$*-index in joint variables*

In this paper, there are obtained growth estimates of entire in $\mathbb{C}^n$ function of bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index in joint variables. They describe the behaviour of maximum modulus of entire function on a skeleton in a polydisc by behaviour of the function $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$, where for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $l_j : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function. We generalised known results of W. K. Hayman, M. M. Sheremeta, A. D. Kuzyk, M. T. Borduyak, T. O. Banakh and V. O. Kushnir for a wider class of functions $\mathbf{L}$. One of our estimates is sharper even for entire in $\mathbb{C}$ functions of bounded l-index than Sheremeta's estimate.

1 Introduction

Let $l : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a fixed positive continuous function, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty)$. An entire function f is said to be of bounded $l-$index [1] if there exists an integer m, independent of z, such that for all p and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ $\frac{|f^{(p)}(z)|}{l^p(z)p!} \leq \max\{\frac{|f^{(s)}(z)|}{l^s(z)s!} : 0 \leq s \leq m\}$. The least such integer m is called the $l-$index of $f(z)$ and is denoted by $N(f, l)$. If $l(z) \equiv 1$ then we obtain the definition of function of *bounded index* [2] and in this case we denote $N(f) := N(f, 1)$.

In 1970 W. J. Pugh and S. M. Shah [3] posed some questions about properties of entire functions of bounded index. One of those questions is following: *I. What are the growth properties of functions of bounded index: (c) is it possible to derive the boundedness (or the unboundedness) of the index from the asymptotic properties of the logarithm of the maximum modulus of* $f(z)$*, i.e.,* $\ln M(r, f)$*?*

W. K. Hayman [4] proved that entire function of bounded index has exponential type which is not greater than $N(f)+1$. Later A. D. Kuzyk and M. M. Sheremeta [1] obtained growth estimate of entire function of bounded $l-$index. M. M. Sheremeta [5], T. O. Banakh and V. O. Kushnir [6] deduced analogical inequalities for analytic in a unit disc and in arbitrary complex domain function of bounded l-index, respectively.

Clearly, the question of Shah and Pugh can be formulated for entire in $\mathbb{C}^n$ function: *What are the growth properties of functions of bounded* $\mathbf{L}$*-index in joint variables? Is it possible to derive*

the boundedness (or the unboundedness) of the $\mathbf{L}$*-index in joint variables from the asymptotic properties of the logarithm of the maximum modulus of* $F(z)$ *on a skeleton in a polydisc?*

M. T. Bordulyak and M. M. Sheremeta [7] gave an answer to the question if $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(|z_1|), \dots, l_n(|z_n|))$, and for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the function $l_j : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous. In this paper we extend their results for $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$, where $l_j : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In some sense our results are new even in one-dimensional case (see below Corollaries 2 and 3).

2 Notations and definitions

We need some standard notations. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$. Denote

$$\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \quad \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \quad \mathbf{2} = (2, \dots, 2) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+,$$

$$\mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_{j-\text{th place}}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \quad [0, 2\pi]^n = \underbrace{[0, 2\pi] \times \cdots \times [0, 2\pi]}_{n-\text{th times}}.$$

For $R = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $\Theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in [0, 2\pi]^n$ and $K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ let us to denote $\|R\| = r_1 + \cdots + r_n$, $Re^{i\Theta} = (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n})$, $K! = k_1! \cdot \ldots \cdot k_n!$. For $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we will use formal notations without violation of the existence of these expressions

$$|A| = (|a_1|, \dots, |a_n|), \ A \pm B = (a_1 \pm b_1, \dots, a_n \pm b_n), \ AB = (a_1 b_1, \cdots, a_n b_n),$$
$$arg\, A = (arg\, a_1, \dots, arg\, a_n), A/B = (a_1/b_1, \dots, a_n/b_n), \quad A^B = a_1^{b_1} a_2^{b_2} \cdot \ldots a_n^{b_n},$$

and a notation $A < B$ means that $a_j < b_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$; similarly, the relation $A \le B$ is defined.

The polydisc $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ |z_j - z_j^0| < r_j, \ j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted by $D^n(z^0, R)$, its skeleton $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ |z_j - z_j^0| = r_j, \ j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted by $T^n(z^0, R)$, and the closed polydisc $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ |z_j - z_j^0| \le r_j, \ j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted by $D^n[z^0, R]$. For a partial derivative of entire function $F(z) = F(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ we will use the notation

$$F^{(K)}(z) = \frac{\partial^{\|K\|} F}{\partial z^K} = \frac{\partial^{k_1 + \cdots + k_n} f}{\partial z_1^{k_1} \dots \partial z_n^{k_n}}, \text{ where } K = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+.$$

Let $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$, where $l_j(z)$ are positive continuous functions of variable $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

An entire function $F(z)$ is called *a function of bounded* $\mathbf{L}$*-index in joint variables,* [9, 10] if there exists a number $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$

$$\frac{|F^{(J)}(z)|}{J! \mathbf{L}^J(z)} \le \max \left\{ \frac{|F^{(K)}(z)|}{K! \mathbf{L}^K(z)} : \ K \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+, \ \|K\| \le m \right\}. \tag{1}$$

If $l_j = l_j(|z_j|)$ then we obtain a concept of entire functions of bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index in sense of definition in the papers [7, 8]. If $l_j(z_j) \equiv 1,\ j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then the entire function is called a *function of bounded index in joint variables* [11, 12, 13].

The least integer m for which inequality holds is called $\mathbf{L}$-*index in joint variables of the function* F and is denoted by $N(F, \mathbf{L})$.

For $R \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$ we define

$$\lambda_{1,j}(z_0, R) = \inf\left\{\frac{l_j(z)}{l_j(z^0)} \colon z \in D^n\left[z^0, \frac{R}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)}\right]\right\}, \quad \lambda_{1,j}(R) = \inf_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R),$$
$$\lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R) = \sup\left\{\frac{l_j(z)}{l_j(z^0)} \colon z \in D^n\left[z^0, \frac{R}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)}\right]\right\}, \quad \lambda_{2,j}(R) = \sup_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R),$$
$$\Lambda_1(R) = (\lambda_{1,j}(R), \dots, \lambda_{1,n}(R)), \quad \Lambda_2(R) = (\lambda_{2,1}(R), \dots, \lambda_{2,n}(R)).$$

By Q^n we denote a class of functions $\mathbf{L}(z)$ which for every $R \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfy the condition

$$0 < \lambda_{1,j}(R) \le \lambda_{2,j}(R) < +\infty. \tag{2}$$

3 Auxiliary propositions

Proposition 1. *Let* $\mathbf{L}(z) = (l_1(z), \dots, l_n(z))$, $l_j : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\frac{\partial l_j}{\partial z_m}$ *be continuous functions in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *for all* $j,\ m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. *If there exist numbers* $P > 0$ *and* $c > 0$ *such that for all* $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *and every* $j, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\frac{1}{c + |l_j(z)|}\left|\frac{\partial l_j(z)}{\partial z_m}\right| \le P \tag{3}$$

then $\mathbf{L}^* \in Q^n$, *where* $\mathbf{L}^*(z) = (c + |l_1(z)|, \dots, c + |l_n(z)|)$.

Proof. Clearly, the function $\mathbf{L}^*(z)$ is positive and continuous. For given $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we define an analytic curve $\varphi : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\varphi_j(\tau) = z^0_j + \tau(z_j - z^0_j),\ j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

where $\tau \in [0, 1]$. It is known that for every continuously differentiable function g of real variable τ the inequality $\frac{d}{dt}|g(\tau)| \le |g'(\tau)|$ holds except the points where $g'(\tau) = 0$. Using restrictions of this lemma, we establish the upper estimate of $\lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R)$:

$$\lambda_{2,j}(z_0, R) = \sup\left\{\frac{c + |l_j(z)|}{c + |l_j(z^0)|} \colon z \in D^n\left[z^0, \frac{R}{\mathbf{L}_1(z^0)}\right]\right\} =$$
$$= \sup_{z \in D^n\left[z^0, \frac{R}{\mathbf{L}_1(z^0)}\right]} \left\{\exp\left\{\ln(c + |l_j(z)|) - \ln(c + |l_j(z^0)|)\right\}\right\} =$$
$$= \sup\left\{\exp\left\{\int_0^1 \frac{d(c + |l_j(\varphi(\tau))|)}{c + |l_j(\varphi(\tau))|}\right\} : z \in D^n\left[z^0, \frac{R}{\mathbf{L}_1(z^0)}\right]\right\} \le$$

$$\leq \sup_{z\in D^n\left[z^0,\frac{R}{\mathbf{L}_1(z^0)}\right]}\left\{\exp\left\{\int_0^1\sum_{m=1}^n\frac{|\varphi_m'(\tau)|}{c+|l_j(\varphi(\tau))|}\left|\frac{\partial l_j(\varphi(\tau))}{\partial z_m}\right|d\tau\right\}\right\}\leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{z\in D^n\left[z^0,\frac{R}{\mathbf{L}_1(z^0)}\right]}\left\{\exp\left\{\int_0^1\sum_{m=1}^n P|z_m-z_m^0|d\tau\right\}\right\}\leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{z\in D^n\left[z^0,\frac{R}{\mathbf{L}_1(z^0)}\right]}\left\{\exp\left\{\sum_{m=1}^n\frac{Pr_j}{c+|l_m(z^0)|}\right\}\right\}\leq\exp\left(\frac{P}{c}\sum_{m=1}^n r_j\right).$$

Hence, for all $R\geq\mathbf{0}$ $\lambda_{2,j}(R)=\sup\limits_{z^0\in\mathbb{C}^n}\lambda_{2,j}(z^0,\eta)\leq\exp\left(\frac{P}{c}\sum\limits_{m=1}^n r_j\right)<\infty$. Using $\frac{d}{dt}|g(t)|\geq-|g'(t)|$ it can be proved that for every $\eta\geq 0$ $\lambda_{1,j}(R)\geq\exp\left(-\frac{P}{c}\sum\limits_{m=1}^n r_j\right)>0$. Therefore, $\mathbf{L}^*\in Q^n$. □

For estimate of growth of entire functions of bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index in joint variables we will use the following theorem which describes local behaviour of these entire functions.

Theorem 1 ([9, 10])**.** *Let $\mathbf{L}\in Q^n$. An entire function F is of bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index in joint variables if and only if there exist numbers R', R'', $\mathbf{0}<R'<\mathbf{e}<R''$, and $p_1=p_1(R',R'')\geq 1$ such that for every $z^0\in\mathbb{C}^n$*

$$\max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n\left(z^0,\frac{R''}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)}\right)\right\}\leq p_1\max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n\left(z^0,\frac{R'}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)}\right)\right\}. \tag{4}$$

At first we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *If $\mathbf{L}\in Q^n$, then for every $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ and for every fixed $z^*\in\mathbb{C}^n$ $|z_j|l_j(z^*+z_j\mathbf{e}_j)\to\infty$ as $|z_j|\to\infty$.*

Proof. On the contrary, if there exist a number $C>0$ and a sequence $z_j^{(m)}\to\infty$ such that $|z_j^{(m)}|l_j(z^*+z_j^{(m)}\mathbf{e}_j)=k_m\leq C$, i. e. $|z_j^{(m)}|=\frac{k_m}{l_j(z^*+z_j^{(m)}\mathbf{e}_j)}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{l_j(z^*+z_j^{(m)}\mathbf{e}_j)}l_j\left(z^*+z_j^{(m)}\mathbf{e}_j-\frac{k_m e^{i\,arg\,z_j^{(m)}}\mathbf{e}_j}{l_j(z^*+z_j^{(m)}\mathbf{e}_j)}\right)=\frac{|z_j^{(m)}|}{k_m}l_j(z^*)\to+\infty,\ j\to+\infty,$$

that is $\lambda_{2,j}(C\mathbf{e}_j)=+\infty$ and $\mathbf{L}\notin Q^n$. □

4 Estimates of growth of entire functions

By K^n we denote a class of positive continuous functions $\mathbf{L}(z)$ for which there exists $c>0$ such that for every $R\in\mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$

$$\max_{\Theta_1,\Theta_2\in[0,2\pi]^n}\frac{l_j(Re^{i\Theta_2})}{l_j(Re^{i\Theta_1})}\leq c.$$

If $\mathbf{L}(z)=(l_1(|z_1|,\dots,|z_n|),\dots,l_n(|z_1|,\dots,|z_n|))$ then $\mathbf{L}\in K^n$. It is easy to prove that $|e^z|+1\in Q^1\setminus K^1$, but $e^{e^{|z|}}\in K^1\setminus Q^1$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Besides, if $\mathbf{L}_1,\mathbf{L}_2\in K^n$ then $\mathbf{L}_1+\mathbf{L}_2\in K^n$ and $\mathbf{L}_1\mathbf{L}_2\in K^n$. For simplicity, let us to write $K\equiv K^1$ and $M(F,R)=\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{L}\in Q^n\cap K^n$. If an entire function F has bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index in joint variables, then*

$$\ln M(F,R)=O\left(\min_{\sigma_n\in\mathcal{S}_n}\min_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_0^{r_j}l_j(R(j,\sigma_n,t)e^{i\Theta})dt\right)\ \text{as}\ \|R\|\to\infty, \tag{5}$$

where σ_n is a permutation of $\{1,\dots,n\}$, $R(j,\sigma_n,t)=(r'_1,\dots,r'_n)$, $r'_k=\begin{cases}r^0_k,\ \text{if}\ \sigma_n(k)<j,\\ t,\ \text{if}\ k=j,\\ r_k,\ \text{if}\ \sigma_n(k)>j,\end{cases}$ $k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, $R^0=(r^0_1,\dots,r^0_n)$ is sufficiently large radius, $\mathcal{S}_n$ is a set of all permutations of $\{1,\dots,n\}$.

Proof. Let $R>0$, $\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n$ and a point $z^*\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R+\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})})$ be a such that

$$|F(z^*)|=\max\left\{|F(z)|:z\in T^n\left(\mathbf{0},R+\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\}.$$

Denote $z^0=\frac{z^*R}{R+\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}$. Then

$$|z^0-z^*|=\left|\frac{z^*R}{R+\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}-z^*\right|=\left|\frac{z^*\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}{R+\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right|=\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\ \text{and}$$
$$\mathbf{L}(z^0)=\mathbf{L}\left(\frac{z^*R}{R+\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)=\mathbf{L}\left(\frac{(R+\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta}))e^{i\arg z^*}R}{R+\mathbf{2}/\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)=\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\arg z^*}).$$

Since $\mathbf{L}\in K^n$ we have that $c\mathbf{L}(z^0)=c\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\arg z^*})\ge\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})\ge\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{L}(z^0)$. We consider two skeletons $T^n(z^0,\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)})$ and $T^n(z^0,\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)})$. By Theorem 1 there exists $p_1=p_1(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{c},c\mathbf{2})\ge1$ such that (4) holds with $R'=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{c}$, $R''=c\mathbf{2}$, i.e.

$$\max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n\left(\mathbf{0},R+\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\}=|F(z^*)|\le\max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n\left(z^0,\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\}\le$$
$$\le\max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n\left(z^0,\frac{c\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(z^0)}\right)\right\}\le p_1\max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n\left(z^0,\frac{\mathbf{1}}{c\mathbf{L}(z^0)}\right)\right\}\le$$
$$\le p_1\max\left\{|F(z)|:z\in T^n\left(\mathbf{0},R+\frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\} \tag{6}$$

A function $\ln^+\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}$ is a convex function of the variables $\ln r_1,\ \dots,\ \ln r_n$ (see [14], p. 138 in Russian edition or p. 84 in English translation). Hence, the function admits a representation

$$\ln^+\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}-\ln^+\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R+(r^0_j-r_j)\mathbf{e}_j)\}=$$

$$= \int_{r_j^0}^{r_j} \frac{A_j(r_1, \dots, r_{j-1}, t, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_n)}{t} dt \tag{7}$$

for arbitrary $0 < r_j^0 \le r_j < +\infty$, where the function $A_j(r_1, \dots, r_{j-1}, t, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_n)$ is a positive non-decreasing in variable $t \in (0; +\infty)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Using (6) we deduce

$$\ln p_1 \ge \ln \max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n\left(\mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\} -$$
$$- \ln \max\left\{|F(z)| : z \in T^n\left(\mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\} =$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln \max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n\left(\mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\mathbf{1} + \sum_{k=j}^{n} \mathbf{e}_k}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\} -$$
$$- \ln \max\left\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n\left(\mathbf{0}, R + \frac{\mathbf{1} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_k}{\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})}\right)\right\} =$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{r_j + 1/l_j(Re^{i\Theta})}^{r_j + 2/l_j(Re^{i\Theta})} \frac{1}{t} A_j\left(r_1 + \frac{1}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, \dots, r_{j-1} + \frac{1}{l_{j-1}(Re^{i\Theta})}, t, r_{j+1} + \frac{2}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, \dots,\right.$$
$$\left. r_n + \frac{2}{l_n(Re^{i\Theta})}\right) dt \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{r_j l_j(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1}\right) A_j\left(r_1 + \frac{1}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, \dots, r_{j-1} + \frac{1}{l_{j-1}(Re^{i\Theta})}, r_j,\right.$$
$$\left. r_{j+1} + \frac{2}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, \dots, r_n + \frac{2}{l_n(Re^{i\Theta})}\right) \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 1 the function $r_j l_j(Re^{i\Theta}) \to +\infty$ $(r_j \to +\infty)$. Hence, for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $r_i \ge r_i^0$

$$\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{r_j l_i(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1}\right) \sim \frac{1}{r_j l_j(Re^{i\Theta}) + 1} \ge \frac{1}{2 r_j l_j(Re^{i\Theta})}.$$

Thus, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ inequality (8) implies that

$$A_j\left(r_1 + \frac{1}{l_1(Re^{i\Theta})}, \dots, r_{i-1} + \frac{1}{l_{j-1}(Re^{i\Theta})}, r_j, r_{j+1} + \frac{2}{l_{i+1}(Re^{i\Theta})}, \dots, r_n + \frac{2}{l_n(Re^{i\Theta})}\right) \le$$
$$\le 2 \ln p_1 \; r_j l_j(Re^{i\Theta}).$$

Let $R^0 = (r_1^0, \dots, r_n^0)$, where every r_j^0 is above chosen. Applying (7) n-th times consequently we obtain

$$\ln \max\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n(\mathbf{0}, R)\} = \ln \max\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n(\mathbf{0}, R + (r_1^0 - r_1)\mathbf{e}_1)\} +$$
$$+ \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} \frac{A_1(t, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{t} dt = \ln \max\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n(\mathbf{0}, R + (r_1^0 - r_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (r_2^0 - r_2)\mathbf{e}_2)\} +$$
$$+ \int_{r_1^0}^{r_1} \frac{A_1(t, r_2, \dots, r_n)}{t} dt + \int_{r_2^0}^{r_2} \frac{A_2(r_1^0, t, r_3 \dots, r_n)}{t} dt = \ln \max\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n(\mathbf{0}, R^0)\} +$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{r_j^0}^{r_j} \frac{A_j(r_1^0, \dots, r_{j-1}^0, t, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_n)}{t} dt \le \ln \max\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^n(\mathbf{0}, R^0)\} +$$

$$+2\ln p_1\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{r_j^0}^{r_j} l_j(r_1^0e^{i\theta_1},\dots,r_{j-1}^0e^{i\theta_{j-1}},te^{i\theta_j},r_{j+1}e^{i\theta_{j+1}},\dots,r_ne^{i\theta_n})dt\le$$

$$\le \ln\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R^0)\}+$$

$$+2\ln p_1\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{r_j} l_j(r_1^0e^{i\theta_1},\dots,r_{j-1}^0e^{i\theta_{j-1}},te^{i\theta_j},r_{j+1}e^{i\theta_{j+1}},\dots,r_ne^{i\theta_n})dt\le$$

$$\le (1+o(1))2\ln p_1\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{r_j} l_j(r_1^0e^{i\theta_1},\dots,r_{j-1}^0e^{i\theta_{j-1}},te^{i\theta_j},r_{j+1}e^{i\theta_{j+1}},\dots,r_ne^{i\theta_n})dt.$$

The function $\ln\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}$ is independent of Θ. Thus, the following estimate holds

$$\ln\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}=$$

$$=O\left(\min_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{r_j} l_j(r_1^0e^{i\theta_1},\dots,r_{j-1}^0e^{i\theta_{j-1}},te^{i\theta_j},r_{j+1}e^{i\theta_{j+1}},\dots,r_ne^{i\theta_n})dt\right),\ \text{as } \|R\|\to+\infty.$$

It is obviously that similar equality can be proved for arbitrary permutation σ_n of the set $\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. Thus, estimate (5) holds. Theorem 2 is proved. □

Corollary 1. *If* $\mathbf{L}\in Q^n\cap K^n$, $\min\limits_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n} l_j(Re^{i\Theta})$ *is non-decreasing in each variable* r_k, $k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, *entire function* F *has bounded* $\mathbf{L}$*-index in joint variables then*

$$\ln\max\{|F(z)|\colon z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}=O\left(\min_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{r_j} l_j(R^{(j)}e^{i\Theta})dt\right)\quad as\ \|R\|\to\infty,$$

where $R^{(j)}=(r_1,\dots,r_{j-1},t,r_{j+1},\dots,r_n)$.

Note that Theorem 2 is new too for $n=1$ because we replace the condition $l=l(|z|)$ by the condition $l\in K$, i.e. there exists $c>0$ such that for every $r>0$ $\max\limits_{\theta_1,\theta_2\in[0,2\pi]}\frac{l(re^{i\theta_2})}{l(re^{i\theta_1})}\le c$. Particularly, the following proposition is valid.

Corollary 2. *If* $l\in Q\cap K$ *and an entire in* $\mathbb{C}$ *function* f *has bounded* l*-index then*

$$\ln\max\{|f(z)|\colon |z|=r\}=O\left(\min_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]}\int_{0}^{r} l(te^{i\Theta})dt\right)\quad as\ r\to\infty.$$

W. K. Hayman, A. D. Kuzyk, M M. Sheremeta, V. O. Kushnir and T. O. Banakh [4, 1, 6] improved an estimate (5) by other conditions on the function l for a case $n=1$. M. T. Bordulyak and M. M. Sheremeta [7] deduced similar results for entire functions of bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index in joint variables, if $l_j=l_j(|z_j|)$, $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. Using their method we will generalise the estimate for $l_j:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{R}_+$.

Let us to denote $a^+=\max\{a,0\}$, $u_j(t)=u_j(t,R,\Theta)=l_j(\frac{tR}{r_m}e^{i\Theta})$, where $a\in\mathbb{R}$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$, $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, $r_m\ne 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathbf{L}(Re^{i\Theta})$ be a positive continuously differentiable function in each variable $r_k \in [0,+\infty)$, $k \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $\Theta \in [0,2\pi]^n$. If an entire function F has bounded $\mathbf{L}$-index $N = N(F,\mathbf{L})$ in joint variables then for every $\Theta \in [0,2\pi]^n$ and for every $R \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ $(r_m \neq 0)$ and $S \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$*

$$\ln \max\left\{\frac{|F^{(K)}(Re^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Re^{i\Theta})}:\ \|K\| \le N\right\} \le \ln \max\left\{\frac{|F^{(K)}(0)|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(0)}:\ \|K\| \le N\right\} +$$
$$+\int_0^{r_m}\left(\max_{\|K\|\le N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{r_m}(k_j+1)l_j\left(\frac{\tau}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta}\right)\right\} + \max_{\|K\|\le N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{k_j(-u_j'(\tau))^+}{l_j\left(\frac{\tau}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta}\right)}\right\}\right)d\tau, \qquad (9)$$

If, in addition, there exists $C > 0$ such that the function $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies inequalities

$$\sup_{R\in\mathbb{R}^n_+}\max_{t\in[0,r_m]}\max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\max_{1\le j\le n}\frac{(-(u_j(t,R,\Theta))_t')^+}{\frac{r_j}{r_m}l_j^2(\frac{t}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta})} \le C, \qquad (10)$$

$$\max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\int_0^{r_m}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{r_m}l_j\left(\frac{\tau}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta}\right)d\tau \to +\infty \ \text{ as } \|R\| \to +\infty \qquad (11)$$

then

$$\varliminf_{\|R\|\to+\infty}\frac{\ln\max\{|F(z)|:\ z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}}{\max\limits_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\int_0^{r_m}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{r_m}l_j\left(\frac{\tau}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta}\right)d\tau} \le (C+1)N+1. \qquad (12)$$

And if $r_m(-(u_j(t,R,\Theta))_t')^+/(r_j l_j^2(\frac{t}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta})) \to 0$ and (11) holds as $\|R\| \to +\infty$ uniformly for all $\Theta \in [0,2\pi]^n$, $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $t \in [0,r_m]$ then

$$\varliminf_{\|R\|\to+\infty}\frac{\ln\max\{|F(z)|:\ z\in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\}}{\max\limits_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\int_0^{r_m}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{r_j}{r_m}l_j\left(\frac{\tau}{r_m}Re^{i\Theta}\right)d\tau} \le N+1. \qquad (13)$$

Proof. Let $R \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{\square\}$, $\Theta \in [0,2\pi]^n$. Then there exists at least one $r_m \neq 0$. Denote $\alpha_j = \frac{r_j}{r_m}$, $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and $A = (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)$. We consider a function

$$g(t) = \max\left\{\frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}:\ \|K\| \le N\right\}, \qquad (14)$$

where $At = (\alpha_1 t,\dots,\alpha_n t)$, $Ate^{i\Theta} = (\alpha_1 te^{i\theta_1},\dots,\alpha_n te^{i\theta_n})$.

Since the function $\frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}$ is continuously differentiable by real $t \in [0,+\infty)$, outside the zero set of function $|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|$, the function $g(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function on $[0,+\infty)$, except, perhaps, for a countable set of points.

Therefore, using the inequality $\frac{d}{dr}|g(r)| \le |g'(r)|$ which holds except for the points $r = t$ such that $g(t) = 0$, we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\right) = \frac{1}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\frac{d}{dt}|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})| + |F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|\frac{d}{dt}\frac{1}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})} \le$$
$$\le \frac{1}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\left|\sum_{j=1}^n F^{(K+\mathbf{e}_j)}(Ate^{i\Theta})\alpha_j e^{i\theta_j}\right| - \frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{k_j u_j'(t)}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \le$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{|F^{(K+\mathbf{e}_j)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{(K+\mathbf{e}_j)!\mathbf{L}^{K+\mathbf{e}_j}(Ate^{i\Theta})}\alpha_j(k_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta}) + \frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_j(-u_j'(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \tag{15}$$

For absolutely continuous functions h_1, h_2, …, h_k and $h(x) := \max\{h_j(z) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, $h'(x) \leq \max\{h_j'(x) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, $x \in [a,b]$ (see [5, Lemma 4.1, p. 81]). The function g is absolutely continuous, therefore, from (15) it follows that

$$g'(t) \leq \max\left\{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\right) : \|K\| \leq N\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\alpha_j(k_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})|F^{(K+\mathbf{e}_j)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{(K+\mathbf{e}_j)!\mathbf{L}^{K+\mathbf{e}_j}(Ate^{i\Theta})} + \frac{|F^{(K)}(Ate^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Ate^{i\Theta})}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_j(-u_j'(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq g(t)\left(\max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j(k_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})\right\} + \max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_j(-u_j'(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}\right\}\right) =$$

$$= g(t)(\beta(t)+\gamma(t)),$$

where $\beta(t) = \max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j(k_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})\right\}$, $\gamma(t) = \max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_j(-u_j'(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}\right\}$. Thus, $\frac{d}{dt}\ln g(t) \leq \beta(t)+\gamma(t)$ and

$$g(t) \leq g(0)\exp\int_0^t(\beta(\tau)+\gamma(\tau))d\tau, \tag{16}$$

because $g(0) \neq 0$. But $r_mA = R$. Substituting $t = r_m$ in (16) and taking into account (14), we deduce

$$\ln\max\left\{\frac{|F^{(K)}(Re^{i\Theta})|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(Re^{i\Theta})} : \|K\| \leq N\right\} \leq \ln\max\left\{\frac{|F^{(K)}(0)|}{K!\mathbf{L}^K(0)} : \|K\| \leq N\right\} +$$

$$+\int_0^{r_m}\left(\max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j(k_j+1)l_j(A\tau e^{i\Theta})\right\} + \max_{\|K\|\leq N}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_j(-u_j'(\tau))^+}{l_j(A\tau e^{i\Theta})}\right\}\right)d\tau,$$

i.e. (9) is proved. Denote $\widetilde{\beta}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})$. If, in addition, (10)-(11) hold then for some K^*, $\|K^*\| \leq N$ and $\widetilde{K}$, $\|\widetilde{K}\| \leq N$,

$$\frac{\gamma(t)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{k_j^*(-u_j'(t))^+}{l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}k_j^*\frac{(-u_j'(t))^+}{\alpha_j l_j^2(Ate^{i\Theta})} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}k_j^*\cdot C \leq NC \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\beta(t)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j(\tilde{k}_j+1)l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} = 1 + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j\tilde{k}_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_j l_j(Ate^{i\Theta})} \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\tilde{k}_j \leq 1+N.$$

But $|F(Ate^{i\Theta})| \leq g(t) \leq g(0)\exp\int_0^t(\beta(\tau)+\gamma(\tau))d\tau$ and $r_mA = R$. Then we put $t = r_m$ and obtain

$$\ln\max\{|F(z)| : \ z \in T^n(\mathbf{0},R)\} = \ln\max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}|F(Re^{i\Theta})| \leq \ln\max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}g(r_m) \leq$$

$$\leq \ln g(0) + \max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n}\int_0^{r_m}(\beta(\tau)+\gamma(\tau))d\tau \leq$$

$$\leq \ln g(0) + (NC+N+1) \max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n} \int_0^{r_m} \widetilde{\beta}(\tau)d\tau =$$

$$= \ln g(0) + (NC+N+1) \max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n} \int_0^{r_m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j l_j(A\tau e^{i\Theta})d\tau =$$

$$= \ln g(0) + (NC+N+1) \max_{\Theta\in[0,2\pi]^n} \int_0^{r_m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{r_j}{r_m} l_j\left(\frac{\tau}{r_m} R e^{i\Theta}\right) d\tau.$$

Thus, we conclude that (12) holds. Estimate (13) can be deduced by analogy. Theorem 3 is proved. □

We will write $u(r,\theta) = l(re^{i\theta})$. Theorem 3 implies the following proposition for $n = 1$.

Corollary 3. *Let $l(re^{i\theta})$ be a positive continuously differentiable function in variable $r \in [0,+\infty)$ for every $\theta \in [0,2\pi]$. If an entire function f has bounded l-index $N = N(f,l)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that $\varlimsup\limits_{r\to+\infty} \max\limits_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]} \frac{(-u'_r(r,\theta))^+}{l^2(re^{i\Theta})} = C$ then*

$$\varlimsup_{r\to+\infty} \frac{\ln \max\{|f(z)\colon |z| = r\}}{\max\limits_{\theta\in[0,2\pi]} \int_0^r l\left(\tau e^{i\theta}\right) d\tau} \leq (C+1)N + 1.$$

Remark 1. *Our result is sharper than known result of Sheremeta which is obtained in a case $n = 1$, $C \neq 0$ and $l = l(|z|)$. Indeed, corresponding theorem [5, p. 83] claims that*

$$\varlimsup_{r\to+\infty} \frac{\ln \max\{|f(z)\colon |z| = r\}}{\int_0^r l(\tau)d\tau} \leq (C+1)(N+1).$$

Obviously, that $NC + N + 1 < (C+1)(N+1)$ for $C \neq 0$ and $N \neq 0$.

Estimate (13) is sharp. It is easy to check for function $F(z_1,z_2) = \exp(z_1z_2)$, $l_1(z_1,z_2) = |z_2| + 1$, $l_2(z_1,z_2) = |z_1| + 1$. Then $N(F,\mathbf{L}) = 0$ and $\ln \max\{|F(z)|\colon z \in T^2(\mathbf{0},R)\} = r_1r_2$.

References

[1] A. D. Kuzyk, M. M. Sheremeta, *Entire functions of bounded l-distribution of values,* Math. Notes, **39** (1986) (1), 3–8.

[2] B. Lepson, *Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index,* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, Rhode Island, **2** (1968), 298–307.

[3] W. J. Pugh and S. M. Shah, *On the growth of entire functions of bounded index,* Pacific J. Math. **33** (1970) (1), 191–201.

[4] W. K. Hayman, *Differential inequalities and local valency,* Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973) (1), 117–137.

[5] M. Sheremeta, Analytic functions of bounded index, Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1999, 141 p.

[6] T. O. Banakh, V. O. Kushnir, *On growth and distribution of zeros of analytic functions of bounded l-index in arbitrary domains,* Mat. Stud. **14** (2000) (2), 165–170.

[7] M. T. Bordulyak, M. M. Sheremeta, *Boundedness of the* **L***-index of an entire function of several variables,* Dopov. Akad. Nauk Ukr., (1993) (9), 10–13. (in Ukrainian)

[8] M. T. Bordulyak, *The space of entire in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *functions of bounded* **L***-index,* Mat. Stud. **4** (1995), 53–58. (in Ukrainian)

[9] A. I. Bandura, M. T. Bordulyak, O. B. Skaskiv, *Sufficient conditions of boundedness of L-index in joint variables,* Mat. Stud. **45** (2016) (1), 12–26

[10] A. Bandura, O. Skaskiv, Entire functions of several variables of bounded index, Lviv, Chyslo, Publisher I. E. Chyzhykov (2016), 128 p.

[11] Gopala J. Krishna, S. M. Shah, *Functions of bounded indices in one and several complex variables,* In: Mathematical essays dedicated to A.J. Macintyre, Ohio Univ. Press, Athens, Ohio, 1970, 223–235.

[12] M. Salmassi, *Functions of bounded indices in several variables,* Indian J. Math., **31** (1989) (3), 249–257.

[13] F. Nuray, R.F. Patterson, *Multivalence of bivariate functions of bounded index,* Le Matematiche, **70** (2015) (2), 225–233. dx.doi.org/10.4418/2015.70.2.14

[14] L. I. Ronkin, *Introduction to theory of entire functions of several variables,* M.: Nauka, 1971, 430 p. (in Russian). Engl. transl.: L. I. Ronkin, *Introduction to theory of entire functions of several variables,* AMS, Translations of mathematical monographs, V. 44, 1974, 273 p.

Department of Advanced Mathematics
Ivano-Frankivs'k National Technical University of Oil and Gas
andriykopanytsia@gmail.com
Department of Function Theory and Theory of Probability
Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
olskask@gmail.com